

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 MONROE JONES,

No. C-12-3062 TEH (PR)

8 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

9 v.

10 DOCTOR VIVAS, et al.,
11

12 Defendants.
13
14 _____/

15 On November 6, 2012, this civil rights action was
16 dismissed under Civil Local Rule 3-11(b) because sixty days had
17 passed since the Court's mail to Plaintiff had been returned as
18 undeliverable and the Court had not received a written communication
19 from him indicating a current address. (Dkt #7). The mail that was
20 returned as undeliverable was an Order to Show Cause that was filed
21 on August 9, 2012. On November 14, 2012, Plaintiff filed an
22 "objection" to the dismissal order, asking that his case be re-
23 opened and the Order to Show cause be reinstated. (Dkt. #8). He
24 stated that, when he filed his complaint in April 2012, he was
25 housed in the San Francisco County Jail, but he was released on
26 October 22, 2012 and that his new address was: Monroe Jones; General
27 Delivery; San Francisco, California, 94142.

28 On November 20, 2012, the Court issued an Order Reopening

1 the Case and Re-instating the Order to Show Cause. (Dkt. #9). In
2 an abundance of caution, the Court ordered the Clerk of the Court to
3 send a copy of the Order Reopening the Case and the Order to Show
4 Cause to Plaintiff's new address and to his address at the San
5 Francisco County Jail.

6 On November 29, 2012, the mail that was sent to Plaintiff
7 at the San Francisco County Jail was returned as undeliverable.
8 (Dkt. #10). On December 18, 2012, Plaintiff filed a notice of
9 change of address providing the same address he had given in his
10 objection: Monroe Jones; General Delivery; San Francisco,
11 California, 94142. (Dkt. #11). On December 26, 2012, the mail that
12 had been sent to Plaintiff at his General Delivery address was
13 returned as undeliverable. (Dkt. #12).

14 Because sixty days have passed since the Court's mail to
15 Plaintiff was returned as undeliverable, and the Court has received
16 no further written communication from Plaintiff indicating a current
17 address, the case is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Civil
18 Local Rule 3-11(b).

19 The Clerk shall close the file.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED 03/18/2013

22 
23 _____
24 THELTON E. HENDERSON
25 United States District Judge

26
27 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\CR.12\Jones 12-3062-Dis 2x Ret'd Mail .wpd